

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/574,142	03/29/2006	Gerardus P. Karman	2003P00715WOUS	8679	
24737 PHILIPS INTI	7590 09/29/201 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3001			SPAR, ILANA L		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2629		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/29/2011	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com debbie.henn@philips.com marianne.fox@philips.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/574,142	KARMAN ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
ILANA SPAR	2629				

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CPT 1.139(a). In overall, however, may a reply be timely filed intensions. The St. (6) MCNTYS from the making date of this communication.  - A strength of the stre
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 September 2011.
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.
3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on
; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
5) Claim(s) 29-54 is/are pending in the application.
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Displaceure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/55)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Dance No (-) Mail Date	6) Other	

Application/Control Number: 10/574,142 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Amendment

 The following Office Action is responsive to the amendments and remarks received on September 1, 2011.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
   USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 29, 30, 33, 36, 39, 41-46, and 49-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marz et al. (US Patent No. 6,593,904) in view of Greier et al. (US Patent No. 6,801,220).

With reference to claim 29, Marz et al. teaches a display device, comprising:

a display panel (30) having a plurality of separately addressable pixels for displaying a three dimensional image, the three dimensional image being comprised of a plurality of different views, each view displaying a different image from the other

Art Unit: 2629

views, each view corresponding to one of a plurality of different first viewing angles with respect to a first axis, the pixels being grouped into a plurality of groups, a number of pixels in each group corresponding to a number of the different views, each pixel of each group corresponding to one of the plurality of different views of the three dimensional image, wherein all the pixels in the plurality of groups corresponding to one of the views display the different image of the one of the views (see column 6, lines 26-41 – each of the groups comprises two pixels in a single row, with the left pixel of the group corresponding to image 45 and the right pixel corresponding to image 46, which are two different views of the overall three dimensional image):

a display driver (18) for controlling an optical characteristic of each pixel to generate a grey scale image according to received image data (see column 5, lines 9-15); and

a grey scale compensation device (28) for optimizing grey scale rendering by compensating for a predetermined viewing angle dependency, wherein a correction applied to each of the plurality of pixels within the group is different (see column 5, lines 28-53).

Marz et al. fails to teach a grey scale compensation device for optimizing grey scale rendering by compensating for a predetermined viewing angle dependency by compensating for variations in slope of the different transmission-voltage (T-V) characteristics of each viewing angle such that a grey scale displayed by said plurality of pixel groups is independent of the viewing angle, wherein a correction applied to each of the plurality of pixels within the group is different.

Art Unit: 2629

Greier et al. teaches a grey scale compensation device for optimizing grey scale rendering by compensating for a predetermined viewing angle dependency by compensating for variations in slope of the different transmission-voltage (T-V) characteristics of each viewing angle such that a grey scale displayed by said plurality of pixel groups is independent of the viewing angle, wherein a correction applied to each of the plurality of pixels within the group is different (see column 10, lines 4-13, lines 20-36, and lines 47-65, column 11, lines 7-13, column 11, line 66 to column 12. line 21, column 12, line 61 to column 13, line 10, column 13, lines 46-60, and column 15. lines 12-26 - Greier teaches that the T-V characteristics of an LCD vary at certain viewing angles such that within certain viewing angle ranges, the image is not displayed well, but that by adjusting the grey level of all pixels to either a higher or lower level, this error can be corrected. As Greier teaches, each pixel is individually adjusted to a different level according to a selected pattern to correct the T-V graph, i.e. slope, and to ensure that the image is displayed in a way that the viewer is able to see the image without flicker or error in grey level.).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the problem identified by Greier is common to all liquid crystal displays, such that it would be advantageous to incorporate the correction method of Greier into the display as taught by Marz, or any other liquid crystal display having viewing angle limitations, to ensure that the three-dimensional image being displayed at various viewing angles by Marz has the same grey level at all angles and does not have any color shift or contrast reversal.

Art Unit: 2629

With reference to claim 30, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 29, and further teaches a back panel for providing a plurality of discrete sources of illumination, each group of pixels in the display panel being positioned to receive light from a respective one of the discrete sources of illumination (see column 4, lines 25-29).

With reference to claim 33, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 30, and further teaches that the display panel is a light-transmissive display panel adapted for viewing from a side opposite to the side on which the back panel is located (see column 4, lines 8-12).

With reference to claim 36, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 29, and further teaches that the display driver and grey scale compensation device in combination are adapted to control the amount of light passing through each pixel according to a grey scale image to be displayed (see column 5, lines 28-53 and column 6, lines 26-41).

With reference to claim 39, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 29, and further teaches that the correction values are selected so as to substantially normalise a grey scale intensity displayed by a group of pixels to be independent of viewing angle (see column 5, lines 28-53).

With reference to claim 41, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 29, and further teaches that the grey scale compensation device comprises a transmission versus voltage characteristic, and the grey scale compensation device is adapted to adjust a pixel drive voltage and/or current received from the display driver (see column 5. lines 28-53).

Art Unit: 2629

With reference to claim 42, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 29, and further teaches that the grey scale compensation device provides a voltage and/or current offset to the pixel drive voltage and/or current received from the display driver (see column 5, lines 28-53).

With reference to claim 43, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 29, and further teaches that inherent optical characteristics of the display panel are configured such that viewing angle dependence is reduced or substantially minimized relative to the first axis which is a y-axis (see column 5, lines 28-53 and column 6, lines 26-41).

With reference to claim 44, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 43, and further teaches that the grey scale compensation device serves to reduce or substantially minimize viewing angle dependence relative to the second axis which is an x-axis, wherein the second axis is orthogonal to the y-axis (see column 5, lines 28-53 and column 6, lines 26-41).

With reference to claim 45, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 44, further incorporated into an object, in which the x-axis is defined as the horizontal axis when the object is in normal use, and the y-axis is defined as the vertical axis when the object is in normal use (see column 5, lines 28-53 and column 6, lines 26-41).

With reference to claim 46, Marz et al. teaches a method for displaying a three dimensional image on a display device, the three dimensional image being comprised of a plurality of different views, each view displaying a different image from the other

Art Unit: 2629

views, each view corresponding to one of a plurality of different viewing angles, the method comprising the steps of:

processing image data to form pixel data values for each one of a plurality of separately addressable pixels in a display panel, the pixels being grouped into a plurality of groups, a number of pixels in each group corresponding to a number of the different views, each pixel of each group corresponding to one of the plurality of different views of the three dimensional image, wherein all the pixels in the plurality of groups corresponding to one of the views display the different image of the one of the views as a function of an angle with respect to a first axis, the pixel data values each for controlling light transmission characteristics of a respective pixel to generate the different image (see column 6, lines 26-41 – each of the groups comprises two pixels in a single row, with the left pixel of the group corresponding to image 45 and the right pixel corresponding to image 46, which are two different views of the overall three dimensional image);

applying grey scale correction values to a plurality of pixel data values within each group to optimize grey scale rendering by compensating for a predetermined viewing angle dependency and for compensating for an optical characteristic of each pixel in a second axis of the display panel, wherein the second axis is transverse to the first axis, by controlling an amount passing through each pixel according to a three dimensional grey scale image to be displayed, wherein the grey scale correction values applied to each of the plurality of pixels within the group are different (see column 5, lines 28-53); and

Art Unit: 2629

using the corrected pixel data values to drive pixels of a display panel to generate said image (see column 5, lines 28-53), and

wherein the number of pixels in a group determine an angular resolution of each of the views (see column 6, lines 26-41 – each of the groups comprises two pixels in a single row, with the left pixel of the group corresponding to image 45 and the right pixel corresponding to image 46, which are two different views of the overall three dimensional image).

Marz et al. fails to teach applying grey scale correction values to a plurality of pixel data within each group to optimize grey scale rendering by compensating for a predetermined viewing angle dependency by compensating for variations in slope of the different transmission-voltage (T-V) characteristics of each viewing angle such that a grey scale displayed by the plurality of pixel groups is independent of the viewing angle.

Greier et al. teaches applying grey scale correction values to a plurality of pixel data within each group to optimize grey scale rendering by compensating for a predetermined viewing angle dependency by compensating for variations in slope of the different transmission-voltage (T-V) characteristics of each viewing angle such that a grey scale displayed by the plurality of pixel groups is independent of the viewing angle (see column 10, lines 4-13, lines 20-36, and lines 47-65, column 11, lines 7-13, column 11, line 66 to column 12, line 21, column 12, line 61 to column 13, line 10, column 13, lines 46-60, and column 15, lines 12-26 – Greier teaches that the T-V characteristics of an LCD vary at certain viewing angles such that within certain viewing angle ranges, the image is not displayed well, but that by adjusting the grey level of all pixels to either a

Art Unit: 2629

higher or lower level, this error can be corrected. As Greier teaches, each pixel is individually adjusted to a different level according to a selected pattern to correct the T-V graph, i.e. slope, and to ensure that the image is displayed in a way that the viewer is able to see the image without flicker or error in grey level.).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the problem identified by Greier is common to all liquid crystal displays, such that it would be advantageous to incorporate the correction method of Greier into the display as taught by Marz, or any other liquid crystal display having viewing angle limitations, to ensure that the three-dimensional image being displayed at various viewing angles by Marz has the same grey level at all angles and does not have any color shift or contrast reversal.

With reference to claim 50, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 46, and further teaches that the grey scale correction values are derived from a transmission versus voltage characteristic of the display panel, the corrected pixel data values being used to adjust a pixel drive voltage and/or current applied to the display panel (see column 5, lines 28-53).

With reference to claim 51, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 46, and further teaches the step of configuring the inherent optical characteristics of the display panel such that viewing angle dependence is reduced or substantially minimized relative to the first axis which is a y-axis (see column 5, lines 28-53 and column 6, lines 26-41).

Art Unit: 2629

With reference to claim 52, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 46, and further teaches that the grey scale correction values are applied to reduce or substantially minimize viewing angle dependence relative to the second axis which is an x-axis, wherein the second axis is orthogonal to the y-axis (see column 5, lines 28-53 and column 6. lines 26-41).

With reference to claim 53, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 52, and further teaches that the x-axis is the horizontal axis when the display panel is in normal use, and the y-axis is the vertical axis when the display panel is in normal use (see column 5, lines 28-53 and column 6, lines 26-41).

With reference to claim 54, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 46, and further teaches that the method is executed by a computer (see column 5, lines 9-26 - it is inherently known that display panels are driven using computers which control the driving functions of the display).

 Claims 31, 32, 37, 38, 40, and 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marz et al. in view of Greier et al. as applied to claims 29 and 46 above, and further in view of Gelsey (US Patent No. 6,344,837).

With reference to claim 31, Marz et al. and Greier et al. teach all that is required with reference to claim 30, but fail to teach line sources of illumination.

Gelsey teaches a three dimensional display having a plurality of line sources of illumination (see column 4, lines 21-29 and column 6, lines 60-65).

Art Unit: 2629

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that any type of backlight can be used to illuminate the three dimensional display pixels, as is well-known and commonly used in the art.

With reference to claim 32, Marz et al. and Greier et al. teach all that is required with reference to claim 30, but fail to teach point sources of illumination.

Gelsey teaches a three dimensional display having a plurality of point sources of illumination (see column 4, lines 21-29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that any type of backlight can be used to illuminate the three dimensional display pixels, as is well-known and commonly used in the art.

With reference to claim 37, Marz et al. and Greier et al. teach all that is required with reference to claim 29, but fail to teach a look-up table.

Gelsey teaches that the grey scale compensation device comprises a look-up table containing correction values to be applied in respect of each pixel within a group (see column 10, lines 2-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a look-up table rather than carry out all necessary computations at the time of image display in order to reduce processing demands and lag time on the system.

With reference to claim 38, Marz et al., Greier et al., and Gelsey teach all that is required with reference to claim 37, and Marz et al. further teaches that the correction

Art Unit: 2629

values are selected according to a viewing angle of a respective pixel within a group (see column 5. lines 28-53).

With reference to claim 40, Marz et al., Greier et al., and Gelsey teach all that is required with reference to claim 37, and Gelsey further teaches that the look-up table includes substitution values or offset values as a function of viewing angle to be applied to a frame store (see column 9, line 15 to column 10, line 4).

With reference to claim 47, Marz et al. and Greier et al. teach all that is required with reference to claim 46, but fail to teach a look-up table.

Gelsey teaches that the grey scale correction values are obtained from a look-up table containing correction values to be applied in respect of each pixel within a group (see column 10, lines 2-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a look-up table rather than carry out all necessary computations at the time of image display in order to reduce processing demands and lag time on the system.

With reference to claim 48, Marz et al., Greier et al., and Gelsey teach all that is required with reference to claim 46, and Marz et al. further teaches that the grey scale correction values are selected according to a viewing angle of a respective pixel within a group (see column 5, lines 28-53).

With reference to claim 49, Marz et al., Greier et al., and Gelsey teach all that is required with reference to claim 48, and Marz et al. further teaches that the grey scale

Art Unit: 2629

correction values are selected so as to substantially normalise a grey scale displayed by a group of pixels to be independent of the viewing angle (see column 5, lines 28-53).

 Claims 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marz et al. in view of Greier et al. as applied to claim 29 above, and further in view of Balogh (US Patent Publication No. 2001/0028356).

With reference to claim 34, Marz et al. and Greier et al. teach all that is required with reference to claim 29, but fail to teach a lenticular array.

Balogh teaches a lenticular array (20) positioned adjacent to the display panel, each lenticle within the lenticular array focusing light from selected pixels in the display panel (see paragraph 36).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate a lenticular array into the device as taught by Marz et al. to focus the light emerging from each pixel and ensure that it is directed toward the particular viewing angle to ensure that the three dimensional image is viewed properly.

With reference to claim 35, Marz et al., Greier et al., and Balogh teach all that is required with reference to claim 34, and Balogh further teaches that each lenticle within the lenticular array is associated with a group of pixels (see paragraph 37).

## Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 29-54 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Art Unit: 2629

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ILANA SPAR whose telephone number is (571)270-7537. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-4:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (571)272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ILS

/Bipin Shalwala/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629